

**PROGRAM INTEGRITY  
REQUEST FOR REGULATION INTERPRETATION**

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Complete items 1 -10 of the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. Retain a copy of the Word Document for your records, and submit via email to: [PIBPolicy@dss.ca.gov](mailto:PIBPolicy@dss.ca.gov).

|                                                                      |                                                     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 1. REQUESTOR NAME:<br>Nina Wagner                                    | 5. COUNTY:<br>Santa Cruz                            |
| 2. PHONE NO: (831) 454-4614<br>EMAIL: Nina.Wagner@santacruzcounty.us | 6. SUBJECT:<br>Non-Compliance with IEVS Requirement |
| 3. REGULATION CITE(S):<br>MPP § 63-300.22                            | 7. REFERENCES: (ACLs/ACINs, COURT CASES Etc.)       |
| 4. DATE OF REQUEST:<br>04/08/2016                                    | 8. DATE RESPONSE NEEDED:<br>02/15/2017              |


**9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):**

When a CalFresh case is discontinued for Noncompliance with an Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) Requirement after failing to provide pending verifications from an IEVS match showing income potentially over the Income Reporting Threshold (IRT), how long does that Pending Verification status last? Would the same rule apply if the client's benefits had discontinued for other reasons before the Noncompliance with IEVS Requirement status had been applied to the case?

**10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:****11. CDSS RESPONSE:**

If there is a pending IEVS match showing income that would put the client over the IRT, and the client was already discontinued for noncompliance with an IEVS match, the match will be pending verification until the client cooperates with the county's attempts to resolve the discrepancy.

The same rule applies if the client's benefits were discontinued for other reasons before the Noncompliance with IEVS Requirement status had been applied to the case.

|                                             |                                                                                                            |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PROGRAM INTEGRITY ANALYST:<br>Chris Daniels | APPROVING MANAGER:<br> |
| DATE:<br>04/08/2016                         | DATE:<br>10/19/2017                                                                                        |

DATE RESPONSE RECEIVED/LOG # (CDSS Use Only):

4/8/16 - PI 16-07

Please note: The policies expressed in this response are based on the unique set of facts presented and should not be presumed to apply in other situations.